



Lattices and codes with long shadows

Citation

Elkies, Noam D. 1995. Lattices and codes with long shadows. Mathematical Research Letters 2(5): 643-651.

Permanent link

<http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:2961697>

Terms of Use

This article was downloaded from Harvard University's DASH repository, and is made available under the terms and conditions applicable to Other Posted Material, as set forth at <http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:dash.current.terms-of-use#LAA>

Share Your Story

The Harvard community has made this article openly available.
Please share how this access benefits you. [Submit a story](#).

[Accessibility](#)

Lattices and codes with long shadows

Noam D. Elkies

Introduction.

By a characteristic vector of an integral unimodular lattice $L \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ we mean a vector $w \in L$ such that $(v, w) \equiv (v, v) \pmod{2}$ for all $v \in L$. Such vectors are known to constitute a coset of $2L$ in L whose norms are congruent to $n \pmod{8}$ (see e.g. [Se, Ch.V]); dividing this coset by 2 yields a translate of L called the shadow of L in [CS2]. If $L = \mathbf{Z}^n$ then $w \in L$ is characteristic if and only if all its coordinates are odd, so every characteristic vector of \mathbf{Z}^n has norm at least n . In [El] we proved that if $L \not\cong \mathbf{Z}^n$ then L has characteristic vectors of norm $\leq n - 8$, and described without proof all lattices for which $n - 8$ is the minimum. Here we prove this result, and along the way also obtain congruences and a lower bound on the kissing number of unimodular lattices with minimal norm 2. We then state and prove analogues of these results for self-dual codes, and relate them directly to the lattice problems via Construction A.

Estimates for unimodular lattices

Any integral lattice L decomposes as the direct sum $\mathbf{Z}^r \oplus L_0$ where the \mathbf{Z}^r is generated by the vectors of norm 1 and L_0 is a lattice of minimal norm ≥ 2 . [This L_0 is called the “reduced form” or “initial lattice” of L in [CS1, p.414], the latter terminology suggesting the infinite family of lattices $L_0, L_0 \oplus \mathbf{Z}, L_0 \oplus \mathbf{Z}^2$, etc., of which L_0 is the initial member.] If L (and thus also L_0) is unimodular then the shadow of L is the orthogonal sum of the shadows of \mathbf{Z}^r and L_0 . Replacing L by L_0 thus reduces both the rank of the lattice and the norm of its shortest characteristic vector by r , and does not change the difference between these two integers. We may thus restrict attention to lattices with no vectors of norm 1 for which that difference is 8, and at the end recover all such lattices by adding arbitrarily many \mathbf{Z} ’s.

Theorem 1. *Let L be an integral unimodular lattice in \mathbf{R}^n with no vectors of norm 1. Then:*

- i) *L has at least $2n(23 - n)$ vectors of norm 2.*
- ii) *Equality holds if and only if L has no characteristic vectors of norm $< n - 8$.*
- iii) *In that case the number of characteristic vectors of norm exactly $n - 8$ is $2^{n-11}n$.*

Proof: We use theta series as in [El], though here we freely invoke modular

forms. For t in the upper half-plane H define

$$\theta_L(t) := \sum_{v \in L} e^{\pi i |v|^2 t} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} N_k e^{\pi i k t}, \quad (1)$$

where N_k is the number of lattice vectors of norm k , and

$$\theta'_L(t) := \sum_{v \in L + \frac{w}{2}} e^{\pi i |v|^2 t} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} N'_k e^{\pi i k t/4}, \quad (2)$$

where $w \in L$ is any characteristic vector and N'_k is the number of characteristic vectors of norm k , or equivalently the number of shadow vectors of norm $k/4$. In [El] we noted the identity

$$\theta_L\left(\frac{-1}{t} + 1\right) = (t/i)^{n/2} \theta'_L(t). \quad (3)$$

By a theorem of Hecke (see e.g. [CS1, Ch.7, Thm.7]), θ_L is a modular form of weight $n/2$ and can be written as a weighted-homogeneous polynomial $P_L(\theta_{\mathbf{Z}}, \theta_{E_8})$ in the modular forms

$$\theta_{\mathbf{Z}}(t) := 1 + 2(e^{\pi i t} + e^{4\pi i t} + e^{9\pi i t} + \dots) \quad (4)$$

of weight $1/2$ and

$$\theta_{E_8}(t) = 1 + 240 \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{m^3 e^{2\pi i m t}}{1 - e^{2\pi i m t}} = 1 + 240e^{2\pi i t} + 2160e^{4\pi i t} + \dots \quad (5)$$

of weight 4. From (3) it follows that θ'_L is given by

$$\theta'_L = P_L(\theta'_{\mathbf{Z}}, \theta_{E_8}), \quad (6)$$

where

$$\theta'_{\mathbf{Z}}(t) = 2 \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} e^{\pi i (m + \frac{1}{2})^2 t} = 2e^{\pi i t/4} (1 + e^{2\pi i t} + e^{6\pi i t} + e^{12\pi i t} + \dots), \quad (7)$$

and we used the fact that $\theta'_{E_8} = \theta_{E_8}$ because E_8 is an even lattice. Since $\theta'_{\mathbf{Z}}(t) \sim 2e^{\pi i t/4}$ as $t \rightarrow i\infty$, while $E_4(i\infty) = 1$ is nonzero, we see from (6) that the norm of the shortest characteristic vectors is simply the exponent of X in the factorization of $P_L(X, Y)$.¹

¹We could now recover our theorem from [El] by observing that this exponent is at most n , with equality if and only if θ_L is proportional to $\theta_{\mathbf{Z}}^n$, etc.; but this is really the same proof because the crucial fact that $\theta_{\mathbf{Z}}$ vanishes at one cusp and nowhere else is also an essential ingredient of Hecke's theorem.

In our setting $N_0 = 1$ and $N_1 = 0$. We first prove part (ii) of our theorem. If L has no characteristic vectors of norm $< n - 8$ then $P_L(X, Y)$ is a linear combination of X^n and $X^{n-8}Y$. The known values of N_0, N_1 determine this combination uniquely: we find that

$$\theta_L = \theta_{\mathbf{Z}}^n - \frac{n}{8}\theta_{\mathbf{Z}}^{n-8}(\theta_{\mathbf{Z}}^8 - \theta_{E_8}) = 1 + 0e^{\pi it} + 2n(23-n)e^{2\pi it} + \dots \quad (8)$$

Thus L indeed has $2n(23-n)$ vectors of norm 2. Conversely if L is an integral unimodular lattice with $N_1 = 0$ and $N_2 \leq 2n(23-n)$ then $n < 24$ and P_L has at most 3 terms, whose coefficients are determined uniquely by N_0, N_1, N_2 :

$$\theta_L = \theta_{\mathbf{Z}}^n - \frac{n}{8}\theta_{\mathbf{Z}}^{n-8}(\theta_{\mathbf{Z}}^8 - \theta_{E_8}) + \frac{N_2 - (2n(23-n))}{16^2}\theta_{\mathbf{Z}}^{n-16}(\theta_{\mathbf{Z}}^8 - \theta_{E_8})^2. \quad (9)$$

But then by (6) we have

$$N'_{n-16} = 2^{n-24}[N_2 - (2n(23-n))]. \quad (10)$$

Since $N'_{n-16} \geq 0$ we conclude that $N_2 \geq 2n(23-n)$ even for $n < 24$, as claimed in part (i) of the theorem; and equality occurs if and only if N'_{n-16} vanishes, whence the reverse implication in part (ii) follows. Finally to prove part (iii) we use (6,8) to compute

$$\theta'_L = \theta'_{\mathbf{Z}}^n - \frac{n}{8}\theta'_{\mathbf{Z}}^{n-8}(\theta_{\mathbf{Z}}^8 - \theta_{E_8}) = 2^{n-11}n e^{(n-8)\pi it} + \dots, \quad (11)$$

so $N'_{n-8} = 2^{n-11}n$ as claimed. \square

Fortunately the integral unimodular lattices of rank $n < 24$ are completely known, and those with $N_1 = 0$ are conveniently listed with their N_2 values in the table of [CS1, pp.416–7]. For $n < 16$ the shortest characteristic vector must have norm at least $n - 8$, so any unimodular lattice of minimal norm > 1 must have $2n(23-n)$ vectors of norm 2; this is confirmed by the table. When $16 \leq n \leq 23$ some lattices can have more than $2n(23-n)$ such vectors, but it turns out there is always at least one lattice with $N_2 = 2n(23-n)$. (Can this be proved a priori?) Thus, as observed by J.H. Conway, the lattices of parts (ii), (iii) of our theorem are precisely the integral unimodular lattices of rank $n < 24$ with $N_1 = 0$ that minimize N_2 given n . As noted in [El], there are fourteen such lattices; in the following list, adapted from [El], we label them as in the table of [CS1] by the root system of norm-2 vectors:

n	8	12	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23
N_2	240	264	252	240	224	204	180	152	120	84	44	0
	E_8	D_{12}	E_7^2	A_{15}	D_8^2	$A_{11}E_6$	D_6^3, A_9^2	$A_7^2D_5$	D_4^5, A_5^4	A_3^7	A_1^{22}	O_{23}

We noted in [El] that from our characterization of \mathbf{Z}^n we could also recover the fact that \mathbf{Z}^n is the only integral unimodular lattice of rank n for $n < 8$. Likewise from part (iii) of Theorem 1 we can recover the fact that every integral

unimodular lattice of rank $n < 12$ is either \mathbf{Z}^n or $\mathbf{Z}^{n-8} \oplus E_8$. Indeed there would otherwise be such a lattice of rank 9, 10, or 11 with no vector of norm 1, but then by (iii) the lattice would have $N'_{n-8} = 2^{n-11}n$, which is impossible because N'_{n-8} is an even integer for any lattice of rank $n \neq 8$.

Having obtained (10), we used $N'_{n-16} \geq 0$ to prove $N_2 \geq 2n(23-n)$. Since N'_{n-16} is always an even integer unless $n = 16$ and $\mathbf{0}$ is a characteristic vector ($\iff L$ is its own shadow $\iff L$ is an even lattice), it follows that in fact

$$N_2 \equiv 2n(23-n) \pmod{2^{25-n}} \quad (12)$$

for any even unimodular lattice with no vectors of norm 1, with the exception of the two even lattices E_8^2, D_{16}^+ of rank 16, which have $N_2 = 480$. (This is similar to the argument used in [CS1, Ch.19, p.440] to prove that there are no “extremal Type I lattices” with $16 \leq n \leq 22$, a fact which now also follows from part (i) of our Theorem.) The congruence (12) is confirmed by the table of [CS1], which also reveals that $N_2 - 2n(23-n)$ is always a multiple of 2^4 even for $n = 22$ and $n = 23$; a “conceptual” (but far from easy) proof of this is found in [Bo, Thm. 4.4.2(3)]. Note that even though we have only proved (12) for $n < 24$, it in fact holds for all n , since N_2 is always an even integer.

Estimates for self-dual binary codes

We recall some basic facts about binary linear codes; see for instance [CS1, Ch.3, §2.2]. Let $F = \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$ be the two-element field. We work in the vector space F^n , whose elements we regard as “words” of length n whose “letters” are taken from the “alphabet” F . The (Hamming) “weight” $\text{wt}(w)$ of a word $w = (w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n) \in F^n$ is $\#\{j : w_j = 1\}$ of nonzero coordinates of w . A “binary linear code” of length n is a subspace $C \subset F^n$. A binary self-dual code is a linear code which is its own annihilator under the nondegenerate pairing $(\cdot, \cdot) : C \times C \rightarrow F$, defined by $(v, w) = \sum_{j=1}^n v_j w_j$. Such a code must have dimension $n/2$, and thus can only exist if n is even, which we henceforth assume. Note that under our pairing we have

$$(w, w) = (w, 1^n) \equiv \text{wt}(w) \pmod{2} \quad (13)$$

for all $w \in F^n$, where 1^n is the all-ones vector in F^n . Thus if C is a self-dual code then $C \ni 1^n$ and all the words in C have even weight.

The “weight enumerator” W_C of C is a generating function for the weight distribution of C :

$$W_C(x, y) := \sum_{c \in C} x^{n-\text{wt}(c)} y^{\text{wt}(c)}. \quad (14)$$

For a binary self-dual code a theorem of Gleason (Thm.6 of [CS1, Ch.7]), analogous to Hecke’s theorem for theta series of lattices, states that W_C is a weighted-homogeneous polynomial $P_W(x^2 + y^2, x^8 + 14x^4y^4 + y^8)$ in the weight enumerators of the double repetition code $\mathbf{z} := \{(0, 0), (1, 1)\} \subset F^2$ and the extended Hamming code in F^8 respectively.

Analogous to the homomorphism $v \mapsto |v|^2 \bmod 2$ from an integral lattice to $\mathbf{Z}/2$ we have for any self-dual code $C \subset F^n$ a linear map from C to F taking any $c \in C$ to $\frac{1}{2} \text{wt}(c) \bmod 2$. We can use the pairing on F^n to represent any linear functional on a self-dual code by a unique coset of the code; thus we find a coset C' of C consisting of all $c' \in F^n$ such that

$$\frac{1}{2} \text{wt}(c) \equiv (c, c') \bmod 2 \quad (15)$$

for all $c \in C$. As in [CS2] we call C' the shadow of C , in analogy with the shadow of an integral unimodular lattice. Let

$$W'_C(x, y) := \sum_{c \in C'} x^{n-\text{wt}(c)} y^{\text{wt}(c)} \quad (16)$$

be the generating function for the weight distribution of C' . Using discrete Poisson inversion as in the proof of the MacWilliams identity and the characterization (15) of C' we find as in [CS2]

$$\begin{aligned} W'_C(x, y) &= 2^{-n/2} \sum_{c \in C} (-1)^{\text{wt}(c)/2} (x+y)^{n-\text{wt}(c)} (x-y)^{\text{wt}(c)} \\ &= 2^{-n/2} W_C(x+y, i(x-y)). \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Thus from $W_C(x, y) = P_W(x^2 + y^2, x^8 + 14x^4y^4 + y^8)$ we obtain

$$W'_C(x, y) = P_W(2xy, x^8 + 14x^4y^4 + y^8). \quad (18)$$

Note that all the words in the shadow thus have weight congruent to $n/2 \bmod 4$. We could have also obtained this directly from the MacWilliams identity

$$W_C(x, y) = 2^{-n/2} W_C(x+y, x-y), \quad (19)$$

(which also underlies Gleason's theorem); this would more closely parallel the analytic proof of $|w|^2 \equiv n \bmod 8$ in [El].

If $c \in C$ has weight 2 then every codeword either contains or is disjoint from c . Thus C decomposes as a direct sum of a double repetition code \mathbf{z} generated by c and the self-dual code of length $n-2$ consisting of codewords disjoint from c . Iterating this we decompose C as $C_0 \oplus \mathbf{z}^r$, where r is the number of weight-2 words in C , and C_0 is a self-dual code of length $n-2r$ with no words of weight 2. Now for any self-dual codes C_1, C_2 , their direct sum $C_1 \oplus C_2$ has shadow

$$(C_1 \oplus C_2)' = C'_1 \oplus C'_2. \quad (20)$$

Since the shadow of \mathbf{z} is $\{(0, 1), (1, 0)\}$ it follows that the shadow of \mathbf{z}^r consists entirely of words of weight r , and if $C = C_0 \oplus \mathbf{z}^r$ then the minimal weight of C'_0 is r less than that of C' .

Since C' contains $w + 1^n$ whenever it contains w it is clear that the minimal weight of C' cannot exceed the value $n/2$ attained by $\mathbf{z}^{n/2}$. This is much easier than proving the corresponding fact for characteristic vectors of unimodular lattices, but it does not show that $\mathbf{z}^{n/2}$ is the only self-dual code whose shadow has minimal weight $n/2$. We prove this, as we did for lattices, by noting that such a code C must have $W'_C(x, y) = (2xy)^{n/2}$, whence $W_C(x, y) = (x^2 + y^2)^{n/2}$. Since C contains $n/2$ words of weight 2, then, it can only be $\mathbf{z}^{n/2}$.

We have shown that the shadow of a binary self-dual code C other than $\mathbf{z}^{n/2}$ contains some words of weight $< n/2$. Thus C' has minimal weight at most $(n-8)/2$. We next characterize all C attaining this bound. If $C = C_0 \oplus \mathbf{z}^r$ then C attains the bound if and only if C_0 does, so we need only consider codes without weight-2 words.

Theorem 1A. *Let C be a binary self-dual code of length n with no codewords of weight 2. Then:*

- i) C has at least $n(22-n)/8$ codewords of weight 4.
- ii) Equality holds if and only if the shadow of C contains no codewords of weight $< (n-8)/2$.
- iii) In that case the number of codewords of weight exactly $(n-8)/2$ in the shadow is $2^{(n-14)/2}n$.

Proof: We can mimic the proof of Theorem 1. If the minimal weight of C' is at least $(n-8)/2$ then W'_C is a linear combination of $(xy)^{n/2}$ and $(xy)^{(n-8)/2}(x^8 + 14x^4y^4 + y^8)$, and thus $W_C(x, y)$ is a linear combination of $(x^2 + y^2)^{n/2}$ and $(x^2 + y^2)^{(n-8)/2}(x^8 + 14x^4y^4 + y^8)$. The condition that C have no weight-2 codewords then forces

$$\begin{aligned} W_C(x, y) &= (x^2 + y^2)^{n/2} - \frac{n}{8}(x^2 + y^2)^{(n-8)/2}((x^2 + y^2)^4 - (x^8 + 14x^4y^4 + y^8)) \\ &= x^n + 0x^{n-2}y^2 + \frac{n(22-n)}{8}x^{n-4}y^4 + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} W_C(x, y) &= (2xy)^{n/2} - \frac{n}{8}(2xy)^{(n-8)/2}[(2xy)^4 - (x^8 + 14x^4y^4 + y^8)] \\ &= 2^{(n-14)/2}(xy)^{(n-8)/2}[nx^8 + (128-2n)x^4y^4 + ny^8]. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

If $n < 24$ and C is any binary self-dual code of length n containing no words of weight 2 and $n(22-n)/8 + d$ words of weight 4 then its weight enumerator exceeds (21) by

$$\frac{d}{16}(x^2 + y^2)^{(n-16)/2}[x^8 + 14x^4y^4 + y^8 - (x^2 + y^2)^4]^2, \quad (23)$$

so the weight enumerator of the shadow C' exceeds (22) by

$$\frac{d}{16}(2xy)^{(n-16)/2}(x^8 - 2x^4y^4 + y^8)^2. \quad (24)$$

Thus C' contains $2^{(n-24)/2}d$ words of weight $(n-16)/2$, from which we find that d is a nonnegative multiple of $2^{(24-n)/2}$.

Alternatively we could deduce Theorem 1A from Theorem 1 via Construction A [CS1, Ch.7, §2]. Recall that this construction associates to a self-dual code $C \subset F^n$ the unimodular integral lattice

$$L_C := \{2^{-1/2}v \mid v \in \mathbf{Z}^n, v \bmod 2 \in C\}. \quad (25)$$

The theta series of this lattice is given by

$$\theta_L(t) = W_C(\theta_{\mathbf{Z}}(2t), \theta'_{\mathbf{Z}}(2t)); \quad (26)$$

in particular L_C has no vectors of norm 1 if and only if C has no codewords of weight 2 (NB $L_{\mathbf{Z}} \cong \mathbf{Z}^2$), and the $N_2(L_C) - 2n$ is 2^4 times the number of weight-4 codewords in C . Moreover the set of characteristic vectors of L_C is

$$\{2^{1/2}v \mid v \in \mathbf{Z}^n, v \bmod 2 \in C'\} \quad (27)$$

(in effect the shadow of L_C is obtained by applying Construction A to the shadow of C), so the norm of the shortest characteristic vectors is half the minimal weight of C' . Applying Theorem 1 to L_C thus yields Theorem 1A immediately. \square

Thus also the codes C of parts (ii), (iii) of Theorem 1A are precisely those for which L_C is one of the 14 lattices listed in connection with Theorem 1. Of course not every such lattice arises because n must be even; moreover, the root system can only involve A_1 , D_{2m} , E_7 and E_8 if the lattice arises from construction A. This leaves only the seven lattices with root systems $E_8, D_{12}, E_7^2, D_8^2, D_6^3, D_4^5, A_1^{22}$ of rank 8, 12, 14, 16, 18, 20, 22 respectively. It turns out that each of those lattices arises as L_C for a unique code C [Pl, PS]. For instance the first of these arises from the extended Hamming code, and the last from what might be called the shorter binary Golay code; these are the shortest self-dual binary codes having minimal weight 4 and 6 respectively. Again it so happens that whenever there is a self-dual code of length $n < 24$ with minimal weight at least 4, there is such a code (this time unique) with only $n(22-n)/8$ words of weight 4, so Conway's description of our fourteen lattices also applies *mutatis mutandis* to our seven codes.

Can we go past $n-8$?

Our results suggest the following questions:

For any $k > 0$ is there N_k such that every integral unimodular lattice all of whose characteristic vectors have norm $\geq n-8k$ is of the form $L_0 \oplus \mathbf{Z}^r$ for some lattice L_0 of rank at most N_k ?

For any $k > 0$ is there n_k such that every binary self-dual code of whose shadow has minimal norm $\geq (n - 8k)/2$ is of the form $C_0 \oplus \mathbf{z}^r$ for some code C_0 of length at most n_k ?

Of course a positive answer for lattices would imply one for codes, and vice versa for a negative answer, via Construction A, with $n_k \leq N_k$ in the former case. Even $k = 2$ seems difficult.

Acknowledgements. Thanks to John H. Conway for helpful correspondence. This work was made possible in part by funding from the National Science Foundation and the Packard Foundation.

References

- [Bo] Borcherds, R.: *The Leech lattice and other lattices*. Ph.D. thesis, Cambridge (Trinity College), 1984.
- [CS1] Conway, J.H., Sloane, N.J.A.: *Sphere Packings, Lattices and Groups*. New York: Springer 1993.
- [CS2] Conway, J.H., Sloane, N.J.A.: A new upper bound on the minimal distance of self-dual codes. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory* **36** (1990), 1319–1333.
- [El] Elkies, N.D.: A characterization of the \mathbf{Z}^n lattice. *Math. Res. Letters* **2** #3 (5/1995), 321–326.
- [Pl] Pless, V.: A classification of self-orthogonal codes over $GF(2)$. *Discr. Math.* **3** (1972), 209–246.
- [PS] Pless, V., Sloane, N.J.A.: On the classification and enumeration of self-dual codes. *J. Comb. Th.* **A18** (1975), 313–335.
- [Se] Serre, J.-P.: *A Course in Arithmetic*. New York: Springer, 1973.

Dept. of Mathematics
Harvard University
Cambridge, MA 02138 USA
e-mail: `elkies@zariski.harvard.edu`

February, 1995